

# **Redevelopment and Financial Consulting**

225 Holmfirth Court Phone: (916) 791-8958 Roseville CA 95661 FAX: (916) 791-9234

# **ANNUAL REPORT**

For 2015-16 Fiscal Year With Data for 2016-17 Fiscal Year

Refunding Revenue Bonds 2007 Series A 2014 Series A 2015 Series A

South Tahoe Joint Powers Financing Authority Successor Agency to the South Tahoe Redevelopment Agency

South Tahoe Redevelopment Project No. 1

March 2017

#### **Section A - Introduction**

The South Tahoe Joint Powers Financing Authority (Authority) has issued the following bonds that are on parity with each other:

Bond Issue	Par Amount
2007 Series A Refunding Revenue Bonds	\$20,360,000
2014 Series A Refunding Revenue Bonds	\$29,230,000
2015 Series A Refunding Revenue Bonds	\$27,525,000

The 2007, 2014 and 2015 issues are referred to as the Senior Bonds. The Bonds are secured by Loan Agreements entered into with the former South Tahoe Redevelopment Agency (Former Agency). Due to the redevelopment Dissolution Act, the City of South Lake Tahoe has assumed the role of Successor Agency to the South Tahoe Redevelopment Agency (Agency).

The source of repayment for the Loans first includes a portion of the tax increment revenues generated within the boundaries of Redevelopment Project Area No. 1 (Project Area). The portion of the tax increment revenues that are pledged to Loan repayment represents total tax increment revenues less allocations to the taxing entities and property tax administrative fees and are referred to as Tax Increment Revenues. Second, the transient occupancy tax (TOT) revenues of the Project Area are pledged to make debt service payments on the Loans. Combined, the Tax Increment Revenues and the TOT Revenues are referred to as the Pledged Revenue.

Tax increment revenues are used first to pay debt service, followed by TOT Revenues to the extent needed to cover remaining debt service. The City receives all remaining TOT Revenues after the payment of the portion of the Senior Bonds not paid by tax increment. The remaining TOT Revenues are used by the City to make debt service payments on the Authority's \$23,245,000 Refunding Revenues Bonds, 2006 Series A (Lease Bonds).

As part of the issuance of the Bonds, the Agency executed Continuing Disclosure Certificates. The Disclosure Certificates were executed and delivered by the Agency for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5).

The 2014 and 2015 Disclosure Certificates require the Agency to file an Annual Report based on the then applicable rules and electronic format prescribed by the MSRB, which meets the requirement for the other Disclosure Certificates as well. The Annual Report must be filed by March 31 of each year.

The Annual Report needs to contain or incorporate by reference the following financial information or operating data, as shown in the 2014 and 2015 Certificates:

- Incremental taxable value and historical tax increment receipts, including information from Table 6 of the 2015 Official Statement.
- Historical information on Project Area TOT revenues, as shown on Table 7 of the 2015 Official Statement.
- The ten major property tax assessees in the Project Area similar to Table 2 of the 2015 Official Statement.
- The ten major TOT assessees in the Project Area similar to Table 8 of the 2015 Official Statement.
- An update to the debt service coverage based on actual TOT revenues for the most recently completed Fiscal Year, and estimated TA revenues based on the most recently available assessor's tax roll, in the form of Table 11 in the 2015 Official Statement.

The Annual Report must also contain the Audited Financial Statements of the Agency prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of the Dissolution Act, there are not separate audited financial statements prepared for the Agency. Commencing with the audited financial statements for the City for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, the activities of the Agency are reported as a fiduciary trust fund as part of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), which is in accordance with guidance issued by the California Department of Finance (DOF) and available on the DOF's website (<a href="www.dof.ca.gov">www.dof.ca.gov</a>) as of December 20, 2013. The Agency financials are reported in the CAFR under "Private-Purpose Trust Fund". This fund reports the assets, liabilities and activities of the Successor Agency.

This Annual Report provides the required information for the Agency's fiscal year ending September 30, 2016 and data for 2016-17. The balance of this Report shows the required financial information and operating data. The value and revenue estimates contained in the following sections of this Report are based upon information and data that we believe to be reasonable and accurate. To a certain extent, the estimates of revenue are based on assumptions that are subject to a degree of uncertainty and variation and therefore are not represented as results that will actually be achieved. However, we have conscientiously prepared them for the Agency on the basis of our experience in the field of financial analysis for redevelopment agencies.

### **AB 26 – Redevelopment Dissolution Act**

In December 2011, the California Supreme Court issued its opinion in the case of *California Redevelopment Association, et al.*, *v. Matosantos, et al.* The Court upheld the right of the state to dissolve redevelopment agencies pursuant to AB 26 which along with subsequent amendments pursuant to AB 1484 and other legislation is referred to herein as the Dissolution Act. Based on modified time lines approved by the Court, all redevelopment agencies, including the South Tahoe Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved effective February 1, 2012. The City of South Lake Tahoe has assumed the role of Successor Agency and is charged with winding down the affairs of the former Agency

and to make payments due on enforceable obligations, as defined in the Dissolution Act. The Bonds are an enforceable obligation under the Dissolution Act.

Under the Dissolution Act, the County Auditor-Controller is to determine the amount of property taxes that would have been allocated to each redevelopment agency had the agency not been dissolved. All former tax increment monies go into a Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Trust Fund or RPTTF) which is controlled by the County Auditor-Controller.

The money in the Trust Fund is used as follows:

- 1. Allocate to the County property tax administrative fees and other costs needed to implement the Dissolution Act.
- 2. Pay all pass-through payments to the taxing entities. The former Project Area has an obligation to make payments required pursuant to former Section 33676, Section 33401 and Section 33607.5 and 33607.7 of the Community Redevelopment Law. None of these payments were subordinate to debt service on the Bonds.
- 3. Pay obligations required per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS). The senior obligation payable from former Tax Revenues listed on the ROPS is payment of debt service on the Bonds.
- 4. Pay the administrative allowance, which goes to the Successor Agency to be used to wind down the affairs of the former redevelopment agency.
- 5. Distribute the balance to the taxing entities pursuant to Section 34183 and 34188 of the Dissolution Act.

The allocations from the Trust Fund take place in two six-month installments, in January and June of each year. The Successor Agency prepares a forward-looking ROPS on an annual basis which is split into two six-month periods. Money in the RPTTF is distributed twice annually, in January and June. Once approved by the Oversight Board and the state Department of Finance, the County Auditor-Controller releases the Trust Fund revenues to pay for the obligations on the ROPS. Any excess Trust Fund revenue not needed to meet the various obligations shown in items 1 through 4 above would be reallocated to the taxing entities. Due to the nature of the Agency's debt, where former tax increment is first used to pay debt service on the Bonds, the Agency is currently receiving all of the available tax increment in each six month period and there is no excess revenue to be distributed.

### **Financial and Operating Data**

This section of the Report includes information on historical revenues, the Top Ten Assessees and other required information. It also includes information on Pledged Revenues and coverage ratios on the Senior Bonds.

#### **Historical Revenues**

Table 1 provides information on historical assessed values in the Project Area. Taxable values have fallen from \$700.8 million in 2011-12 to \$514.3 million in 2015-16. For 2016-17, taxable values were stable at \$515.2 million. The reductions in value occurred under Proposition 8, which requires the local county assessor to enroll the lesser of the market value or the Proposition 13 value of property. In the opinion of the El Dorado County Assessor, the market value for time share units had dropped, and so they reduced value at the Grand Residence, the Timber Lodge, Diamond Resorts, and other time share facilities. In addition the County Assessor reduced value in 2012-13 for parcels where the owners had filed appeals and processed roll corrections for prior year taxes paid due to the resolution of the appeals.

Table 1 also provides information on the historical receipt of tax increment revenues in the Project Area. The initial County levy is compared to the actual receipt of tax increment (exclusive of supplemental revenues) to determine collection trends. The County has typically allocated 100 percent of the estimated tax levy to the Agency. Agency revenues are reduced for roll corrections and refunds of property taxes due to successful assessment appeals, which were substantial for 2012-13, totally almost \$1.8 million. Supplemental property taxes are also shown on Table 1 and are a function of new construction or changes of ownership since the last property tax lien date.

Table 2 provides summarized information on TOT collections in the Project Area for the period 2005-06 through 2015-16. In both 2014-15 and 2015-16, TOT Revenues increased substantially. For 2014-15, TOT Revenue went up by \$565,560 and for 2015-16 by almost \$1.1 million.

### **Top Ten Assessees**

The Top Ten Assessees in the Project Area are summarized on Table 3. The secured taxable value for the Top Ten Assessees represents 54 percent of the total secured value of the Project Area as of 2016-17 and 72 percent of secured incremental value.

Table 4 "Ten Major TOT Revenue Generators," lists the ten major TOT generators in the Project Area, the TOT revenue paid by each, and the percentage of total Project TOT revenue represented by each lodging facility. As shown on Table 4, the cumulative TOT revenue of the ten largest facilities represented 92 percent of the total TOT revenue in the Project Area for 2015-16.

## **Pledged Revenues and Coverage**

As previously stated, the source of repayment for the Loans are the tax increment revenues and TOT revenues (Pledged Revenues) generated within the boundaries of the Project Area. This section includes information on Pledged Revenues and debt service coverage for the Senior Bonds and the Lease Bonds.

#### **Annual Tax Increment Revenues**

Table 5 provides information on the estimated tax increment revenues of the Project Area for 2016-17. The value of secured and unsecured property shown on Table 5 is based on information provided by El Dorado County. Unitary revenues are based on prior year estimates from the County. Total tax increment is estimated at \$3.9 million.

The tax increment revenues of the Project Area were subject to certain adjustments and liens, as described in this section. Prior to the Dissolution Act, the adjustments and liens were required to be paid prior to the payment of debt service on the Senior Bonds.

#### Adjustments to Revenue

There are three adjustments to the tax increment revenues shown on Table 5: property tax administrative fees; allocations pursuant to former Section 33676 of the Community Redevelopment Law (CRL); and property tax refunds, as discussed above.

State law allows counties to charge taxing entities, including redevelopment agencies, for the cost of administering the property tax collection system. In addition, the County is authorized to deduct its costs for implementing the Dissolution Act from the RPTTF. The fees have been estimated and shown on Table 5.

For project areas adopted prior to January 1994, taxing entities could elect to receive additional property taxes above the base year revenue amount. Such amounts are calculated by increasing the real property portion of base year values by an inflation factor of up to 2 percent annually. Taxing entities can receive a proportionate share of such revenues if they elected to do so prior to adoption of the redevelopment plan. El Dorado County, the El Dorado County Water Agency, the El Dorado County Service Area #3, the South Tahoe Public Utility District, and the El Dorado County Office of Education have elected to receive additional allocations of property taxes generated in the Project Area. Such amounts have been shown on Table 5.

#### Housing Set-Aside

Prior to AB 26, redevelopment agencies were required to deposit not less than 20 percent of the tax increment generated in a project area into a special fund to be used for qualified low and moderate income housing programs. The housing set-aside deposit is no longer required and is shown at 0 on Table 5.

#### *Tax Sharing Payments*

At the time of adoption of the Project Area, the Agency entered into individual agreements with three taxing entities. The agreements provide that the Agency will pay each taxing entity an amount of money to alleviate the fiscal detriment created by the

Project Area. The Agency's tax sharing agreements are with the Lake Tahoe Community College District and the Lake Tahoe Unified School District. It is estimated that tax sharing payments under the agreements will be \$148,000. As shown on Table 5, Tax Increment Revenues have been reduced by this amount.

After reduction for the above liens, Tax Increment Revenues are estimated at \$3.2 million for 2016-17.

#### **TOT Revenues**

TOT Revenues for 2015-16 equaled \$5.8 million, as shown on Table 2.

#### **Pledged Revenues and Coverage**

Table 6 provides information on Pledged Revenues and coverage for the Senior Bonds. Coverage on the Senior Bonds is shown based on Loan Year Debt Service. As shown on Table 6, debt service coverage for the Senior Bonds for 2016-17 is estimated at 168 percent.

Table 7 reflects the estimated impact of the Dissolution Act on Bond debt service payments. The table shows the actual or estimated tax increment and TOT Revenue that is available, the obligations that are deducted prior to paying debt service (including administrative fees, tax sharing payments, and refunds and roll corrections) and the payment of bond debt service. The table shows coverage on a semi-annual basis and the amount of TOT Revenue remaining to pay debt service on the Lease Bonds.

Table 1 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project Area No. 1

#### HISTORICAL TAX INCREMENT REVENUE

<u>-</u>	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	2012-13	2011-12
Total Taxable Value Less: Base Year Value	\$514,345,054 130,614,321	\$522,396,203 130,614,321	\$582,591,002 130,614,321	\$595,897,312 130,614,321	\$700,791,998 130,614,321
Incremental Taxable Value	383,730,733	391,781,882	451,976,681	465,282,991	570,177,677
Tax Increment Less: Section 33676 Allocations Less: Property Tax Admin. Fees	3,861,291 366,040 83,924	3,942,274 346,199 55,663	4,519,767 341,709 85,726	4,675,332 319,933 133,180	5,701,777 313,673 148,900
Net Tax Increment Levy (1)	3,411,327	3,540,412	4,092,332	4,222,219	5,239,204
Adjustments to Levy (2) Penalties & Interest Less: Refunds / Roll Corrections Other Adjustments	4,739 192,044	5,294 196,857	1,220 105,113 5,013	1,791,481 84,719	101,204
Total Tax Increment Receipts Receipts to Levy % Supplemental Property Taxes	<b>3,224,022</b> <b>94.51%</b> 33,476	<b>3,348,849</b> <b>94.59%</b> (166,576)	<b>3,983,426</b> <b>97.34%</b> 446,805	<b>2,346,019 55.56%</b> 213,699	5,138,000 98.07%
Total Tax Increment Receipts Receipts to Levy %	3,257,498 95.49%	3,182,273 89.88%	4,430,231 108.26%	2,559,718 60.62%	5,138,000 98.07%
Liens on Tax Increment (3) Housing Set-Aside Taxing Entity Share	0 148,112	0 148,112	0 148,112	0 148,112	0 148,112
Total Liens	148,112	148,112	148,112	148,112	148,112
Tax Increment Revenues	\$3,109,386	\$3,034,161	\$4,282,119	\$2,411,606	\$4,989,888

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects intial levy calculation by the County, reduced by Section 33676 and property tax administrative payments, which are deducted prior to payment of tax increment to the Agency.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amounts shown are adjustments to the intial levy reported by the County. In 2012-13 refunds were made to the owner of Project 3 for multiple prior year appeals that had been filed.

<sup>(3)</sup> Reflects reductions for prior liens on tax increment, in order to determine the amount available to pay bond debt service. Starting in 2011-12 under AB 26, the housing set-aside was no longer required.

Table 2 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project Area No. 1

## PROJECT AREA HISTORICAL TOT REVENUE (1)

	Lake Tahoe Hotel (3)	Lake Tahoe Resorts	Grand Residence	Timber Lodge	All Other Project	Total Project	Percentage	Dollar
Fiscal Year (2)	TOT Revenue	TOT Revenue	TOT Revenue	TOT Revenue	TOT	TOT	Change	Change
2005-06	2,156,802	177,808	970,173	317,580	1,244,734	4,867,097	-2.88%	(144,501)
2006-07	2,080,641	157,889	871,651	466,603	1,122,224	4,699,008	-3.45%	(168,089)
2007-08	1,983,151	166,392	954,634	599,574	1,377,867	5,081,618	8.14%	382,610
2008-09	1,557,831	168,964	761,863	742,885	1,251,066	4,482,609	-11.79%	(599,009)
2009-10	1,526,269	181,517	712,296	742,693	924,033	4,086,809	-8.83%	(395,800)
2010-11	1,597,481	186,335	781,425	683,723	789,881	4,038,845	-1.17%	(47,964)
2011-12	1,519,096	142,462	812,556	773,897	837,655	4,085,666	1.16%	46,821
2012-13	1,429,460	172,469	891,828	957,825	950,632	4,402,214	7.75%	316,548
2013-14	1,629,816	189,799	1,022,522	1,286,893	36,401	4,165,430	-5.38%	(236,784)
2014-15	1,375,947	200,000	818,738	1,047,169	1,289,137	4,730,990	13.58%	565,560
2015-16	1,614,827	541,021	1,018,696	1,297,081	1,354,627	5,826,251	23.15%	1,095,261

<sup>(1)</sup> TOT revenue generated within the boundaries of the South Tahoe Redevelopment Project No. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects City Fiscal Year beginning October 1 and ending September 30.

<sup>(3)</sup> Formerly Embassy Suites Hotel.

Table 3 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project Area No. 1

#### TEN MAJOR PROPERTY TAX ASSESSEES

<u>Assessee</u>	Type of Use	Number of <u>Parcels</u>	2016-17 Secured Value (1)	%of Secured Value (2)	%of Secured Incremental Value (2)
1) FIRST AMERICAN TRUST FSB T (3)	Time share parcels	8,534	62,324,450	12.56%	16.78%
2) ROPPONGI-TAHOE LP	Lake Tahoe Resort Hotel	1	45,624,227	9.19%	12.28%
3) TRANS SIERRA / TSI INVESTMENTS	Retail in Heavenly Village	8	33,260,502	6.70%	8.95%
4) TAHOE CRESCENT PTN LP	Shopping Center	3	27,683,789	5.58%	7.45%
5) TAHOE STATELINE VENTURE	Commercial	15	25,303,823	5.10%	6.81%
6) HEAVENLY VALLEY LTD PTNSHP	Gondola	1	24,597,508	4.96%	6.62%
7) MARRIOTT OWNERSHIP RESORTS (4)	Timber Lodge	212	24,427,902	4.92%	6.57%
8) NBT ERI TAHOE CA LLC	Hotel	3	11,056,885	2.23%	2.98%
9) ZALANTA RESORT AT THE VILLAGE	Hotel / Commercial	5	7,503,399	1.51%	2.02%
10) CECILS LLC	Retail in Heavenly Village	1	7,421,068	1.49%	2.00%
Total Valuatio	n		269,203,553	54.23%	72.46%

Source: El Dorado County Assessor Records

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on ownership of locally-assessed secured property.

<sup>(2)</sup> Based on 2016-17 Project Area secured taxable value of \$496,394,519 and incremental secured value of \$371,531,339.

<sup>(3)</sup> First American Trust holds time share parcels in several different resorts.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes the value of common area (95%) and timeshare intervals that have been sold back to Marriott (5%). The value also includes Grand Residence parcels owned by Marriott under the name Heavenly Resort Properties.

Table 4 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project No. 1

### **TEN MAJOR TOT REVENUE GENERATORS**

No.	Business Name	Rooms	2015-16	Percent of (1) Total TOT Revenue
1	Lake Tahoe Resort (2)	400	\$1,629,816	28%
2	Marriott's Timber Lodge (2)	258	1,286,893	22%
3	Marriott's Grand Residence (2)	201	1,022,522	18%
4	Diamond Resorts (2)	182	451,867	8%
5	Holiday Inn Express SLT	89	282,899	5%
6	Hotel Becket	60	189,799	3%
7	Postmarc Hotel and Spa	54	165,884	3%
8	Knight Inn (Super 8 Motel)	112	133,479	2%
9	Econo Lodge	120	106,085	2%
10	Blue Lake Inn	68	101,816	2%
	SUBTOTAL	_	5,371,058	92%
	All other TOT		455,193	
	Grand Total	-	5,826,251	-

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on total TOT revenue collected in 2015-16 in the Project Area of \$5,826,251.

<sup>(2)</sup> A tax rate of 12 percent applies to these businesses. A 10 percent rate is paid at all other facilities.

Table 5 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project Area No. 1

# ESTIMATE OF TAX INCREMENT REVENUES (1) FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

	2016-17
Local Secured Land Improvements Personal Property	\$115,281,157 373,619,628 7,493,734
Gross Local Secured Exempt	496,394,519 0
Net Local Secured	496,394,519
Unsecured Land Improvements Personal Property	130,712 11,489,667 7,423,136
Gross Unsecured Exempt	19,043,515 0
Net Unsecured	18,833,473
Total Secured & Unsecured Base Year Taxable Value	515,227,992 130,614,321
Incremental Taxable Value	384,613,671
Tax Increment Unitary Property Tax Revenue	3,846,137 23,984
Total Tax Increment Revenue	3,870,121
Adjustments to Tax Revenue Section 33676 Allocations (2) Property Tax Administration Fees (3)	386,279 88,355
<u>Liens on Tax Increment</u> Taxing Entity Share (4)	148,112
Tax Increment Revenues	\$3,247,375

- (1) Taxable values as reported by El Dorado County.
- (2) Reflects property tax allocations made pursuant to Section 33676 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (3) Reflects percent that the actual reduction for January 2017 equaled in relation to total tax increment.
- (4) Based on the provisions of the tax sharing agreements.

Table 6 South Tahoe Successor Agency Project Area No. 1

### PROJECTED DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE (1)

(000's Omitted)

Fiscal Year	Projected TA (2) Revenue	Actual TOT (3) Revenue	Total Projected Revenue	Series 2007 Debt Service	Series 2014 Debt Service	Series 2015 Debt Service	Total Debt Service	Debt Service Coverage
	. ,	` '	•		\$1,749,469 1,751,269 1,762,069 1,761,469 1,758,219 1,763,469 1,771,719 1,762,719 1,775,319 1,774,669 1,777,169 1,782,594			
2028 - 2029 2029 - 2030 2030 - 2031 2031 - 2032 2032 - 2033 2033 - 2034 2034 - 2035 2035 - 2036 2036 - 2037	3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375 3,247,375	5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251 5,826,251	9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626 9,073,626	1,173,500 1,171,250 1,173,000 1,173,500 1,177,750 1,175,500 1,177,000 5,882,000 5,885,250	3,605,700 3,610,700 3,579,400 3,582,200 3,585,000 3,707,600 0	618,769 615,994 647,400 640,600 638,400 515,600 4,212,000 0	5,397,969 5,397,944 5,399,800 5,396,300 5,401,150 5,398,700 5,389,000 5,882,000 5,885,250	168% 168% 168% 168% 168% 168% 168% 154%

<sup>(1)</sup> Revenues are based on a Fiscal Year ending September 30 of each year and debt service is presented on a bond year ending October 1 of each calendar year.

<sup>(2)</sup> Tax increment (TA) revenues are estimated for the current 2016-17 fiscal year based on reported assessed value.

<sup>(3)</sup> TOT Revenues are actuals from the recently completed 2015-16 fiscal year.

Table 7 South Tahoe Redevelopment Agency Project No. 1

#### **BOND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE UNDER AB 26**

		2015-16			2016-17	
	Actual	Actual		Estimated	Estimated	
	January-	July -		January-	July -	
Category	June 2016	December 2016	Total	June 2017	December 2017	Total
Tax Increment (1)	\$1,725,747	\$1,924,255	3,893,459	\$1,935,060	\$1,935,060	3,870,121
Supplemental Revenue	4,376	53,084	57,460	0	0	0
TOT Revenue (2)	2,875,184	2,951,067	5,826,251	2,875,184	2,951,067	5,826,251
Total	4,605,307	4,928,406	9,777,170	4,810,244	4,886,127	9,696,372
Obligations						
Property Tax Administration Fees (3)	0	83,924	83,924	41,962	41,962	88,355
Tax Sharing Payments (4)	257,076	257,076	514,152	257,076	257,076	534,391
G , , , , ,		,				<u> </u>
Combined Revenue for Debt Service	\$4,348,231	\$4,587,406	\$9,179,094	\$4,511,206	\$4,587,089	\$9,073,626
2007 Series A	461,789	706,789	1,168,578	455,664	710,664	1,166,328
2014 Series A	593,134	1,153,134	1,746,268	584,734	1,164,734	1,749,469
2015 Series A	657,146	1,821,597	2,478,743	594,447	1,884,447	2,478,894
Total Parity Debt Service (5)	1,712,069	3,681,520	5,393,589	1,634,845	3,759,845	5,394,691
Debt Service Coverage - Post AB 26	254%	125%	170%	276%	122%	168%
Remaining Tax Increment			0			0
Remaining TOT Revenue	2,636,162	905,886	3,785,505	2,876,361	827,244	3,678,935
2006 Lease Bonds (5)			1,823,831			1,823,231
Net TOT Revenues			1,961,674			1,855,704

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects actual receipts based on the records of the Agency for 2015-16. Other numbers are estimates.

<sup>(2)</sup> TOT reflects actuals or estimates based on historical collections by month. Reflects the period from October through September of each year. Future TOT held constant.

<sup>(3)</sup> Estimated for 2016-17 based on 2.85 percent of tax increment.

<sup>(4)</sup> The County calcualtion of pass through payments includes the Section 33676 amounts.

<sup>(5)</sup> Bond year debt service.